

Heterosis and Inbreeding Depression

***Kana Ram Kumawat, Ravi Kumawat and Madhu Choudhary**
Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, S.K.N. Agriculture University
Jobner-303329, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India
*Email: kanaramkumawat8@gmail.com

Heterosis

Heterosis is defined as the superiority of F₁ hybrid over both the parents in terms of yield and/or some other characters. The term heterosis was first used by Shull in 1914.

Types of heterosis

1. Average heterosis

It is the heterosis where F₁ is superior to mid parent value. In other words superior to average of two parents. It may be estimated using following formula:

$$\text{Average Heterosis} = \frac{(\overline{F_1} - \overline{MP})}{\overline{MP}} \times 100$$

Where,

$\overline{F_1}$ = Mean of hybrid

\overline{MP} = Mid parent value = $\frac{(P_1 + P_2)}{2}$

Where,

P₁ = Parent 1

P₂ = Parent 2

2. Heterobeltiosis

It is the superiority of F₁ over the better parent. It may be estimated using following formula:

$$\text{Heterobeltiosis} = \frac{(\overline{F_1} - \overline{BP})}{\overline{BP}} \times 100$$

Where,

\overline{BP} = Mean of better parent

3. Economic heterosis

It is the superiority of the F₁ compared to the high yielding commercial variety in a particular crop. It may be estimated using following formula:

$$\text{Economic Heterosis} = \frac{(\overline{F_1} - \overline{CV})}{\overline{CV}} \times 100$$

Where,

\overline{CV} = Mean of commercial variety

4. Negative heterosis

It is the performance of F₁ inferior to better parent / mid parent value. e.g. Duration

Manifestation of heterosis may be in the following form:

- Increased yield.
- Increased reproductive ability.
- Increase in size and vigour.
- Better quality

- Greater adaptability.

Genetic basis of heterosis

1. Dominant hypothesis

It is firstly proposed by Davenport in 1908. It was later on expanded by Bruce, Keeble and Pellow. According to this hypothesis at each locus the dominant allele has favourable effect, while the recessive allele has unfavourable effect. In heterozygous state, the deleterious effects of recessive alleles are masked by their dominant alleles. Inbreeding depression is produced by the harmful effects of recessive alleles, which become homozygous due to inbreeding.

2. Over dominance hypothesis

This hypothesis was independently proposed by East and Shull in 1908. It is also known as single gene heterosis or super dominance theory. According to this hypothesis, heterozygotes or at least some of the loci are superior to both the homozygotes. Thus heterozygote Aa would be superior to AA and aa.

Inbreeding Depression

Cross pollinated species and species reproducing asexually are highly heterozygous. When these species are subjected to selfing or inbreeding they show severe reduction in vigour and fertility. This phenomenon is known as inbreeding depression.

Inbreeding

It is mating between individuals related by descent or having common ancestry. (brother-sister mating or sib mating). The highest degree of inbreeding is obtained by selfing.

Effects of inbreeding

1. Appearance of lethal and sub lethal alleles: Chlorophyll deficiency, rootless seedlings and other malformations.
2. Reduction in vigour: Appearance of dwarf plants.
3. Reduction in reproductive ability: Less seed set, sterility
4. Segregation of population in distinct lines.
5. Increase in homozygosity
6. Reduction in yield.

Degrees of inbreeding depression

Various plant species exhibit different degrees of inbreeding depression. The depression may be from very high to nil. Based on degree of depression, the plant species can be grouped into four broad categories.

1. High inbreeding depression

Inbreeding leads to severe depression and exhibit lethal effects. After 3 or 4 generations of selfing it is hard to maintain lines. E.g. Lucerne, Carrot.

2. Moderate inbreeding depression

Though lethal effects are there, lines can be separated and maintained. E.g. Maize, Jowar, Bajra.

3. Low inbreeding depression

Only a small degree of inbreeding depression is observed. E.g. Cucurbits, Sunflower.

4. No inbreeding depression

The self-pollinated crops do not show inbreeding depression.

References (if any)

1. Singh, B.D. (2015) Plant Breeding Principles and Methods. 10th Revised Edition, Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi.
2. Internet (IASRI)

Terms - Do not remove or change this section (It should be emailed back to us as is)

- This form is for genuine submissions related to biotechnology topics only.
- You should be the legal owner and author of this article and all its contents.
- If we find that your article is already present online or even containing sections of copied content then we treat as duplicate content - such submissions are quietly rejected.
- If your article is not published within 3-4 days of emailing, then we have not accepted your submission. Our decision is final therefore do not email us enquiring why your article was not published. We will not reply. We reserve all rights on this website.
- Do not violate copyright of others, you will be solely responsible if anyone raises a dispute regarding it.
- Similar to paper based magazines, we do not allow editing of articles once they are published. Therefore please revise and re-revise your article before sending it to us.
- Too short and too long articles are not accepted. Your article must be between 500 and 5000 words.
- We do not charge or pay for any submissions. We do not publish marketing only articles or inappropriate submissions.
- Full submission guidelines are located here: <http://www.biotecharticles.com/submitguide.php>
- Full Website terms of service are located here: <http://www.biotecharticles.com/privacy.php>

As I send my article to be published on BiotechArticles.com, I fully agree to all these terms and conditions.
